

le C

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,076	12/10/2003	Michael Evans	27592-00215-US2	8495
	7590 02/22/2008 Lodge & Hutz LLP	EXAMINER		
1990 M Street NW, Suite 800			AU, GARY	
Washington, DC 20036-3425			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/733,076	EVANS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gary Au	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	anuary 2008.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 23-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number:

10/733,076 Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,549,768 (Fraccaroli) and further in view of US Patent No. 6,782,253 Shteyn et al. (Shteyn).

As to claim 23, Fraccaroli teaches a system comprising: a network-connected server (server 109 – figure 1, col. 8 lines 33-56) storing an user profile for individual ones of a plurality of the users (matching profile 201 – figure 2, col. 8 line 33 - col. 9 line 5); a first computerized communication device usable by a first person potentially

10/733,076 Art Unit: 2617

interested in one or more of the users (mobile station 102 – figure 1, col. 3 lines 46-55, wherein Fraccaroli discloses there are more than one user in the area subscribed to the service interested in the dating service); and a second computerized communication device usable by another user (mobile station 102 – figure 1, col. 3 lines 46-55, wherein there are more than one user in the area subscribed to the service interested in the dating service); wherein the first person registers a seeker profile with the server (matching profile 201 – figure 2, col. 8 line 33 - col. 9 line 5), the server compares the seeker profile with stored user profiles and finds one or more matches (col. 9 line 50 col. 10 line 15), and provides a communication address for the second communication device to the first person to contact the other user, responsive to finding that the stored user profile matches said seeker profile (col. 10 lines 40-67, wherein Fraccaroli discloses providing a telephone number of the matched user to both of the users). However, Fraccaroli fails to disclose a system for promoting exhibits at a trade show, a second computerized communication device usable by an agent for one of the exhibits and the stored user profiles is stored exhibit profiles.

In an analogous art, Shteyn inherently teaches a system for promoting exhibits at a trade show (col. 6 lines 28-36, wherein a trade show is in a similar environment as a trade show), a second computerized communication device usable by an agent for one of the exhibits (col. 8 lines 42-58, wherein Shteyn discloses providing a pointer to connect to the agent with SMS or telephone number, therefore, the agent has to have a communication device) and the stored user profiles is stored exhibit profiles (col. 7 lines 33-61, wherein Shteyn discloses store information is sent from the server).

Application/Control Number:

10/733,076 Art Unit: 2617

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fraccaroli's system to include a system for promoting exhibits at a trade show, a second computerized communication device usable by an agent for one of the exhibits and the stored user profiles is stored exhibit profiles, as taught by Shteyn, for the advantage of providing alerts to the user of a matched service (col. 3 lines 1-16).

As to claim 28, Fraccaroli teaches a method comprising: (a) storing an user profile for individual ones of a plurality of the users on a network-connected server (matching profile 201 – figure 2, col. 8 line 33 - col. 9 line 5); (b) registering at the server a seeker profile of a first person (matching profile 201 – figure 2, col. 8 line 33 - col. 9 line 5) having a first computerized communication device (mobile station 102 – figure 1, col. 3 lines 46-55, wherein Fraccaroli discloses there are more than one user in the area subscribed to the service interested in the dating service); (c) comparing seeker profiles with exhibit profiles by the server and finding a match between the seeker profile and an individual one of the user profiles (col. 9 line 50 - col. 10 line 15); and (d) responsive to said match, passing a communication address to the first person by the server for a second computerized communication device usable by another user for the user matched to the seeker profile (col. 10 lines 40-67, wherein Fraccaroli discloses providing a telephone number of the matched user to both of the users). However, Fraccaroli fails to disclose a system for promoting exhibits at a trade show, a second

Application/Control Number:

10/733,076 Art Unit: 2617

computerized communication device usable by an agent for one of the exhibits and the stored user profiles is stored exhibit profiles.

In an analogous art, Shteyn inherently teaches a system for promoting exhibits at a trade show (col. 6 lines 28-36, wherein a trade show is in a similar environment as a trade show), a second computerized communication device usable by an agent for one of the exhibits (col. 8 lines 42-58, wherein Shteyn discloses providing a pointer to connect to the agent with SMS or telephone number, therefore, the agent has to have a communication device) and the stored user profiles is stored exhibit profiles (col. 7 lines 33-61, wherein Shteyn discloses store information is sent from the server).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fraccaroli's system to include a system for promoting exhibits at a trade show, a second computerized communication device usable by an agent for one of the exhibits and the stored user profiles is stored exhibit profiles, as taught by Shteyn, for the advantage of providing alerts to the user of a matched service (col. 3 lines 1-16).

As to claims 24 and 29, Fraccaroli further teaches one or both of the computerized communication devices are cellular telephones (mobile station 102 – figure 1, col. 3 lines 46-55, wherein Fraccaroli discloses there are more than one user in the area subscribed to the service interested in the dating service).

Art Unit: 2617

As to claims 25 and 30, Fraccaroli further teaches the network is the Internet network (col. 8 lines 33-56).

As to claims 26 and 31, Fraccaroli further teaches the first computerized communication device an Internet-capable device (col. 8 lines 33-56), and the first person registers the seeker profile using the first computerized communication device (matching profile 201 – figure 2, col. 8 line 33 - col. 9 line 5).

As to claims 27 and 32, Fraccaroli further teaches the first communication device is an Internet-capable cellular telephone (col. 8 lines 33-56).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Au whose telephone number is (571) 272-2822. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rafael Perez-Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-7915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GA

Reflect Perez-Gutierns:
Supervisory Patent Examinum
Technology Center 2600
Art Unit 2617